UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

JUDY	JIEN,	et	al
-------------	-------	----	----

Plaintiffs.

Civil Action No. SAG-19-2521

v.

PERDUE FARMS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' CORRECTED MOTION TO DIRECT NOTICE TO SETTLEMENT CLASSES FOR PILGRIM'S, GEORGE'S, WMS, PECO, SIMMONS, CARGILL, SANDERSON, WAYNE, PERDUE, CASE FOODS AND MOUNTAIRE FARMS SETTLEMENTS

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion to Direct Notice to Settlement Classes with Pilgrim's, George's Inc., WMS, Peco, Simmons, Cargill, Sanderson, Wayne, Perdue, Case Foods, and Mountaire Farms Settlements. The Court has considered Plaintiffs' arguments and submissions and hereby ORDERS:

- 1. The proposed plan set forth in the memorandum in support of the corrected motion complies with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B) and due process, as it constitutes the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice via email and postcard mail to all Class Members who can be identified through reasonable effort. The direct email and mail notice will be supported by reasonable publication notice to reach potential members of the settlement classes who could not be individually identified;
- 2. The notice documents¹ attached to the Declaration of Justin Parks comply with Rule 23(c)(2)(B) and satisfy due process and all applicable laws because they reasonably inform Class Members of the essential features of the claims, settlements, class certifications, and their rights with respect thereto. Specifically, the notices are

¹ The Court approves the corrected exhibits in ECF No. 906, not the exhibits in ECF 905.

reasonably calculated to fairly apprise Class Members of (i) the nature of the action; (ii) the definition of the class certified; (iii) the class claims, issues, or defenses; (iv) that a Class Member may enter an appearance through an attorney if the member so desires; (v) that the Court will exclude from the settlement classes any member who requests exclusion; (vi) the time and manner for requesting exclusion; and (vii) the binding effect of a class judgment on member of the class under Rule 23(c)(3). Nonsubstantive changes, such as typographical errors, can be made to the notice documents by agreement of the parties without leave of the Court;

- 3. After notice has been disseminated, the Court shall hold a hearing on the proposed settlements to determine whether they are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and whether they should be finally approved by the Court (the Final Approval Hearing). The Court schedules a final approval hearing for **December 16, 2024, at 9:30am**;
- 4. After notice has been disseminated, Class members who wish to exclude themselves from the proposed settlements will be required to submit an appropriate and timely request for exclusion, and Class Members who wish to object to the proposed settlements must submit an appropriate and timely written statement of the grounds for objection. Class Members who wish to appear in person to object to any of these agreements may do so at the Final Approval Hearing pursuant to directions by the Court;
- 5. The Court finds the proposed plan of allocation to be fair, reasonable, adequate, and treats Class Members equitably relative to each other. Plaintiffs propose that the initial distribution will be a pro rata share of compensation earned by each Class Member during the relevant class periods. After an initial distribution has taken place, it is very likely that additional funds will remain in the escrow accounts. Plaintiffs propose that either the funds remaining from the first-round distribution will be joined with any subsequent settlements for the class, or that a second round of distribution will take place limited to only those Class Members who cashed their checks or

claimed their digital funds in the first found. Plaintiffs also propose that any second-round distribution remove *de minimus* payments where the costs of administration would outweigh the benefit to that Class Member. To this end, Plaintiffs propose that there would be a floor of \$5 per class member. This Court finds that the proposed plan of allocation fairly compensates Class Members based on their relative earnings and the total value of the settlements. The plan of allocation further is designed to reduce administrative costs and, when combined with the multiple phases of TIN solicitations to reduce penalties, fairly, reasonably, and adequately maximizes the funds available to Class Members.

- 6. Because the proposed plan of allocation involves personally identifiable information, any information provided by Class Members to the notice and claims administrator will be subject to the protective order in this action and will not be produced to anyone other than class counsel without a further Court order;
- 7. All payments for Class Members from the settlement fund will be sent directly to Class Members;
- 8. The administrator of the Qualified Settlement Fund shall solicit or cause to be solicited a TIN from each claimant on an IRS Form W-9 or a conforming substitute W-9. Such solicitation shall include the following notice, which shall appear prominently in bolded text: "IMPORTANT: If you are <u>not</u> a U.S. person, you should <u>not</u> complete Form W-9 and <u>do not</u> need to complete Form W-9 to receive a payment. You <u>will</u> still be eligible for payment if you cannot complete the Substitute IRS Form W-9 because you are not a U.S. person.";
- 9. The following deadlines govern the notice plan:

Task	Proposed Deadline
Publication notice plan, postcard and	75 days from the date of this Order
email notice to commence.	
Initial Notice Complete (Email and	105 days from the date of this Order
Postcard)	
Class counsel to file motion for	130 days from the date of this Order
award of attorneys' fees, costs, and	
service awards.	
Deadline for class members to	180 days from the date of this Order
request exclusion or object to	
settlements.	
Class counsel to file motion for final	200 days from the date of this Order
approval, a declaration regarding the	
completion of notice, and a list of	
timely excluded class members.	
Final approval hearing (as	225 days from the date of this Order
convenient to the Court).	
Deadline for submission of	280 days from the date of this Order
claims/update of tax or TIN	•
information to be provided by class	
members.	

10. Plaintiffs' Motion to Direct Notice is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>April 26, 2024</u>

 $/_{\rm S}/$

HON. STEPHANIE A. GALLAGHER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

Brent W. Johnson (admitted pro hac vice) Benjamin D. Brown (admitted pro hac vice) Daniel H. Silverman (admitted pro hac vice) Alison S. Deich (admitted pro hac vice) Zachary Glubiak (D. Md. Bar # 20962) Zachary Krowitz (D. Md. Bar # 22370) COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC 1100 New York Avenue NW, 5th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 408-4600 Facsimile: (202) 408-4699 bjohnson@cohenmilstein.com bbrown@cohenmilstein.com dsilverman@cohenmilstein.com adeich@cohenmilstein.com zglubiak@cohenmilstein.com zkrowitz@cohenmilstein.com

Shana E. Scarlett (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Rio S. Pierce (admitted *pro hac vice*)
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 300
Berkeley, CA 94710
Telephone: (510) 725-3000
shanas@hbsslaw.com
riop@hbsslaw.com

Steven W. Berman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Breanna Van Engelen (admitted *pro hac vice*)
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
steve@hbsslaw.com
breannav@hbsslaw.com

Elaine T. Byszewski (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Abigail D. Pershing (admitted *pro hac vice*)
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
301 North Lake Avenue, Suite 920
Pasadena, CA 91101
Telephone: (213) 330-7150
elaine@hbsslaw.com
abigailp@hbsslaw.com

George F. Farah (admitted pro hac vice)
Rebecca P. Chang (admitted pro hac vice)
Nicholas J. Jackson (admitted pro hac vice)
HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLLC
33 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 477-8090
gfarah@hfajustice.com
rchang@hfajustice.com
njackson@hfajustice.com

Matthew K. Handley (D. Md. Bar # 18636) Rachel E. Nadas (admitted *pro hac vice*) HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLLC 1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200K Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 559-2433 mhandley@hfajustice.com rnadas@hfajustice.com

William H. Anderson (admitted *pro hac vice*) HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLLC 5353 Manhattan Circle, Suite 204 Boulder, CO 80303 Telephone: (202) 559-2433 wanderson@hfajustice.com

Simon Wiener (admitted *pro hac vice*)
HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLLC
68 Harrison Avenue, Suite 604
Boston, MA 02111
Telephone: (202) 921-4567
swiener@hfajustice.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Brian D. Clark (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Stephen J. Teti (admitted *pro hac vice*)
LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Tel: (612) 339-6900
Fax: (612) 339-0981
bdclark@locklaw.com
steti@locklaw.com

Candice J. Enders (admitted *pro hac vice*) Julia R. McGrath (admitted *pro hac vice*) BERGER MONTAGUE PC 1818 Market St., Suite 3600

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 875-3000 Fax: (215)-875-4604 cenders@bm.net jmcgrath@bm.net

Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney of record hereby certifies that on April 4, 2024, a true and

correct copy of the foregoing was filed electronically using the Court's CM/ECF system which

will cause notice and a copy of this filing will be served upon all counsel of record.

Dated: April 4, 2024 /s/ Shana E. Scarlett
SHANA E. SCARLETT

- 8 -